



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/592,962

08/25/2008

David Sidransky

62933(71699)

4059

49383

7590

03/14/2011

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP

P.O. BOX 55874

BOSTON, MA 02205

EXAMINER

GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/14/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/592,962	Applicant(s) SIDRANSKY, DAVID	
	Examiner JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) JEANINE A. GOLDBERG.

(2) Melissa Hunter-Ensor.

Date of Interview: 7 February 2011

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: after election response

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called applicant to discuss the election. The examiner verified that applicant wished to pursue the combination of promoters GSTP1 and APC. The examiner explained that Claims drawn to combinations comprising this subcombination would be withdrawn, but upon allowance of the subcombination would be considered for rejoinder. Moreover, Applicant asked whether upon amendment additional promoters may be added. The examiner indicated this would be a switch in invention and not generally permitted.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeanine A Goldberg/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)